Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

lame: Washington Bridge South	Agency ID: 020001 Inspec Date: 07/28/2015
	AECOM-Commonwealth
	IDENTIFICATION
Rte.(On/Under) 5A: Route On Structure	State 1: 44 Rhode Island
Rte. Signing Prefix 5B: 1 Interstate Hwy	Facility Carried 7: I-195 EB
Level of Service 5C: 1 Mainline	Place Code 4: East Providence
Route Number 5D: 00195	SHD District 2: District 3
Directional Suffix 5E: 2 East	Feature Intersected 6: SEEKONK RVR & STS
Border Bridge Code 98: Not Applicable (P)	County Code 3: Providence
Border Bridae Number 99: -1	Location 9: 1.0 Mi E of JCT I-95&195
Mile Post 11: 24.144 mi	Latitude 16: 41° 49' 08"
Struc Num 8: 0000000002000	Longitude 17: 071° 23' 13"
% Responsibility: Unknown	
	INSPECTION
Inspection Date 90: 7/28/2015 Frequency	y 91: 24 months Next Inspection: 7/28/2017
FC Inspection Date 93A: NA FC Freque	ency 92A: Next FC Inspection: NA
UW Inspection Date 93B: 8/8/2013 UW Freque	ency 92B: 60 months Next UW Inspection: 8/8/2018
SI Date 93C: NA SI Frequer	ncy 92C: Next SI: NA
Element Insp. Date: 7/28/2015 Element Fi	Frequency: 24 months Next Elem. Insp.: 7/28/2017
Deck 58: 8 Very Good Super 59: 8 Very Good Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Provide	CONDITION SD/FO: ND 'ery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79.
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Pr	rery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79.
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Pr LOAD	Yery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Superating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regime Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS	rery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79.
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regime Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS	Very Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Res. Fact Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Pr LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Re Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Operating Rating
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regimer Load & Regimer Load & Structure Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction C C C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Sub 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenoth 49: 1,670.79 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Ref Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction C C C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING tes. Fact Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Length 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regimer Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Ounknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING tes. Fact Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenath 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Curb/Sidewalk Width R 50B: 0.00 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regimer in the second seco	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Res. Fact Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenath 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Utb/Sidewalk Width R 50B: 0.00 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Processor Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Reference Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction G Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft (w/ shoulders) 119,461.48 sq. ft	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS Totom Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Length 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Output Structure Length Median 33: 0 No median
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Regimer in the second seco	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Res. Fact Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenath 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Utb/Sidewalk Width R 50B: 0.00 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Processor Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Reference Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft (w/ shoulders) 119,461.48 sq. ft Skew 34: 0.00°	rery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. PRATING AND POSTING Superating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Length 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Median 33: 0 No median Structure Flared 35: 1 Yes, flared Horizontal Clearance 47: 83.80 ft
Culvert62:N N/A (NBI)Channel/Channel ProductLOADInventory Rating Method 65:3 LRFR Load & RegistrationInventory Rating66:30.0 TONSDesign Load31:0 UnknownPosting Status41:A Open, no restrictionCLength Max Span48:160.37 ftWidth Curb to Curb51:68.00 ftApproach Roadway width32:68.00 ft(w/ shoulders)119,461.48 sq. ftSkew34:0.00°Vertical Clearance10:328.05 ft	Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Sub 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenath 49: Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Median 33: 0 No median Structure Flared 35: 1 Yes, flared Horizontal Clearance 47: 83.80 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Product LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Reference Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction G Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft (w/ shoulders) 119,461.48 sq. ft Skew 34: 0.00° Vertical Clearance 10: 328.05 ft Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge 53:	fery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Subscript (Composition of the composition of the com
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Procession Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Reference Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restricti C C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft Skew 34: 0.00° Vertical Clearance 10: 328.05 ft Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge 53: Minimum Vertical Underclearance Reference 54A:	fery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenoth 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Median 33: 0 No median Structure Flared 35: 1 Yes, flared H Hwy beneath struct 20.80 ft
Culvert 62: N N/A (NBI) Channel/Channel Procession LOAD Inventory Rating Method 65: 3 LRFR Load & Reference Inventory Rating 66: 30.0 TONS Design Load 31: 0 Unknown Posting Status 41: A Open, no restriction C Length Max Span 48: 160.37 ft Width Curb to Curb 51: 68.00 ft Approach Roadway width 32: 68.00 ft (w/ shoulders) Deck Area: 119,461.48 sq. ft Skew 34: 0.00° Vertical Clearance 10: 328.05 ft Minimum Vertical Underclearance Reference 54A: Minimum Vertical Underclearance 54B:	fery Good Sub 60: 6 Satisfactory SD/FO: ND rotection 61: 6 Bank Slumping SUFF RATE: 79. RATING AND POSTING Operating Rating Method 63: 3 LRFR Load & Res. Fact Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS Operating Rating 64: 40.0 TONS tion Posting 70: 5 At/Above Legal Loads GEOMETRIC DATA Structure Lenoth 49: 1,670.79 ft Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A: 0.00 ft Width Out to Out 52: 71.50 ft Median 33: 0 No median Structure Flared 35: 1 Yes, flared H Hwy beneath struct 20.80 ft

CN Inspection Report With Work

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

		AGE	AND SER	VICE		
Year Built	27:	1930		ADT	29:	72,100
Type of Service on	42A:	1 Highway		Year Reconstructed	106:	2008
Type of Service unde	r 42B:	6 Highway-waterway		Detour Length	19:	10.8 mi
Lanes on	28A:	5		Truck ADT	109:	10%
Lanes under	28B:	4		Year of ADT	30:	2008
		STRUCTURE) MATERIALS		
Number of Approach	Spans <mark>46</mark> :	0		Number of Spans Main Unit	45 :	14
Wearing Surface	108A:	1 Monolithic Concrete	•	Main Span Material Design 4	43A:	4 Steel Continuous
Membrane	108B:	0 None		Main Span Material Design	43B:	02 Stringer/Girder
Deck protection	108C:	1 Epoxy Coated Rein	foi	Deck Type	107:	1 Concrete-Cast-ir
		А	PPRAISAL	_		
Bridge Rail 36	A: 1 Mee	ets Standards		Approach Rail 36C:	1 Me	eets Standards
Transition 36	B: 1 Mee	ets Standards)	Approach Rail Ends 36D:	1 Me	eets Standards
Str Evaluation 6	6 Equ	al Min Criteria		Deck Geometry 68:	4 To	lerable
		De sizable			0.5	ual Min Criteria
Waterway Adequacy	71: 9 Abo	ve Desirable)	Approach Alignment 72:	6 Eq	
		- Unstable) (Approach Alignment 72:	0 Eq	
	13: 3 SC	- Unstable) () Above Desi		0 Eq	
Scour Critical 11	13: 3 SC	- Unstable izontal 69: 9)	irable	6 Eq	
Scour Critical 11	3: 3 SC	- Unstable izontal 69: 9	Above Desi	irable		t of bridge
Scour Critical 11	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA	Above Desi	irable	Righ	
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 10	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE	Above Desi	irable ION Parallel Structure 101:	Righ Not a	t of bridge
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway 10	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic	Above Desi	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103:	Righ Not A	t of bridge Applicable (P)
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 10 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-was 04: 3 On f 10: 1 On f	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road	Above Desi	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112:	Righ Not J Long 11 U	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS	Above Desi	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37:	Righ Not J Long 11 U 5 No	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough Irban Interstate
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency	Above Desi	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01	Righ Not J Long 11 U 5 No	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough rban Interstate t eligible for NRHP
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency	Above Desi ASSIFICAT ()	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01	Righ Not A Long 11 U 5 No State I	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough rban Interstate t eligible for NRHP
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 10 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Owner 2	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St 94: \$82	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI	Above Desi ASSIFICAT ()	ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01	Righ Not J Long 11 U 5 No State I	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough Irban Interstate t eligible for NRHP Highway Agency
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Bridge Cost 11	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St 94: \$82 95: \$8,2	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI ,878,000	Above Desi ASSIFICAT ()	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01 VEMENTS Type of Work 75:	Righ Not <i>J</i> Long 11 U 5 No State I : 35 : 1,8	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough rban Interstate t eligible for NRHP Highway Agency Rehabilitate-gen.
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Bridge Cost Roadway Cost	13: 3 SC ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1-wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St 94: \$82 95: \$8,2 96: \$12	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI ,878,000 287,800	Above Desi ASSIFICAT ()	irable ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01 VEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 76:	Righ Not <i>J</i> Long 11 U 5 Nc State I : 35 : 1,8	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough Irban Interstate t eligible for NRHP Highway Agency Rehabilitate-gen. 363.8 ft
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 10 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Bridge Cost Roadway Cost Total Cost Year of Cost Estimate	13: 3 SC · ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1 -wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 94: \$82 95: \$8,2 96: \$12 97: 20	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI ,878,000 287,800 4,317,000 007 NAV	Above Desi ASSIFICAT ()	ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01 VEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 76: Future ADT 114 Year of Future ADT 115: DATA	Righ Not <i>J</i> Long 11 U 5 No State I : 35 : 1,8 : 8(: 20	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough rban Interstate t eligible for NRHP Highway Agency Rehabilitate-gen. 363.8 ft 0,000
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 11 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Bridge Cost Roadway Cost Total Cost 10	13: 3 SC · ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1 -wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St 94: \$82 95: \$8,2 96: \$12 e 97: 20 38: Pe	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI ,878,000 287,800 4,317,000 007 NAV ermit Required	Above Desi ASSIFICAT () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01 VEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 76: Future ADT 114 Year of Future ADT 115:	Righ Not <i>J</i> Long 11 U 5 Nc State I : 35 : 1,8	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough Irban Interstate It eligible for NRHP Highway Agency Rehabilitate-gen. 363.8 ft 0,000
Scour Critical 11 Underclearance, Verti Defense Highway 10 Direction of Traffic 10 Highway System 10 Defense Hwy 11 Toll Facility 2 Bridge Cost Roadway Cost Total Cost Year of Cost Estimate	13: 3 SC · ical and Hor 00: 1 On I 02: 1 1 -wa 04: 3 On I 10: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 20: 1 On I 22: 01 St 94: \$82 95: \$8,2 96: \$12 e 97: 20 38: Pe	- Unstable izontal 69: 9 CLA Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ay traffic free road the NHS Interstate STRAHNE ⁻ ate Highway Agency PROPOSI ,878,000 287,800 4,317,000 007 NAV	Above Desi ASSIFICAT () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	ION Parallel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37: Custodian 21: 01 VEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 76: Future ADT 114 Year of Future ADT 115: DATA	Righ Not <i>J</i> Long 11 U 5 No State I : 35 : 1,8 : 8(: 20	t of bridge Applicable (P) g Enough rban Interstate t eligible for NRHP Highway Agency Rehabilitate-gen. 363.8 ft 0,000

CN Inspection Report With Work

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

Elm/Env		Description	Unit	Total Qty	% in 1	Qty. St. 1	% in 2	Qty. St. 2	% in 3	Qty. St. 3	% in 4	Qty. St. 4
		[12		Re Con	crete De	ck	٦				
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
12	F	Re Concrete Deck	sq.ft	119,494.00	0%	1.00	100%	119,493.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
e unders ngitudina so obser	isly noted, side is cov al construe ved in this	inforced concrete deck is there is a 4" diameter po rered by stay-in-place forr ction joint / phase line tha bay, adjacent to Girder 4 me have been filled while	ssible c ns exce it runs a G' (See	ore hole in S pt for in Bay long the mid Photo 24, 43)	pan 14, pa 'G' and at dle of Bay . These h	atched with t the overha / 'G', with e noles are pr	rubber. ings (See venly spa esumably	Photos 3, 4 Iced anchoi V left over fr	1). There bolt hol	is a		
		e Photo 15).	others	nave not. A	rew of the	noles that	nave not	been filled	exhidit			
	1080	lamination/Spall/Patched	Ar eac	n 0.09	0%	0.00	100%	6 0.09	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	1120	interior cross-frame from Efflorescence/Rust Staini The exposed deck under	ing eac	n 0.09 Bay 'G' typica	0%		100% 0.013" wi		0% se cracks		0%	0.00
Г	4400	efflorescence (See Photo		-1	100	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
L	1130	Cracking (RC and Other On the topside, there are there are scattered trans The exposed deck under efflorescence (See Photo	isolate verse ci rside in l	d hairline crac acks up to 0.0 Bay 'G' typica	ks throug 020" wide	hout. Along (See Photo	the low-: 7).	speed lane a	and low-s	speed shou	lder	0.00
Γ	1190	Abrasion(PSC/RC)	eac	n 11,101.0	8 0%	0.00	100%	6 11,101.0	0% 80	0.00	0%	0.00
-		The exposed topside of t (See Photos 6, 7, 12).	the deck	exhibits mod	lerate wea	ar, minor chi	ps in the	concrete an	d isolated	d scrape ma	arks	
Γ	8382	Stay-in-Place Form	sq.f	t 97,500.0	0 85%	6 82,500.	00 15%	15,000.0	0% 0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		In Bays 'H' and 'I', the sta between adjacent form s	• •						•		es	

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

	1		10		<u> </u>	Girder/B			.		<u></u>	
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
107	Ste	el Opn Girder/Beam	ft	16,674.00	100%	16,644.00	0%	24.00	0%	6.00	0%	0.00
hoto 3). Sp ection along putments a ne girders a efect eleme poncrete deb	an 14 is g the so nd at Pie are gene nts. A f pris/over	consists of 10 weatherin splayed at the East Abu uth side of the bridge (S ers 4 and 9 (See Photos rally in good condition, ew areas of pigeon debu -pour from construction in the 2013 Inspection F	itment, w ee Photo 21, 47). with son is were r (See Ph	ith two rolled 4). The girde he minor local hoted on the b hotos 27, 47).	section k er ends ard ized defic ottom flar	icker beams e painted be iencies as o nges and th	s support low the c liscussed ere are al	ing the sp leck joints I under the so some lo	ayed at the respections	ive of		
mber. In a easuring 5		Girder 'J' in Span 1 has 1/8" deep. Steel Protective Coati The girders are protect	ng sq.	t 251,200.0	00 98%	246,200.0	00 2%	5,000.0	0 0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		deck joints at the abutr The weathering steel g (See Photos 3, 4, 14, 2 flanges. The painted g	nents and irders typ 0). Ther	l at Piers 4 and ically exhibit a e are also scat	d 9 (See F normal su ttered area	Photo 21, 47 Irface pating as of spotty). a with son orange su	ne scattere Irface rust,	d areas c mainly a	of discolorati long the top	ion	
		Photos 21, 47). In Span 10, at Pier 9, ti Photo 36).	ne backsi	de of the bear	ing stiffen			d 'H' in Bay	'G' are r	not painted (See	
	3430	In Span 10, at Pier 9, ti				ers for Girde	ers 'G' and	d 'H' in Bay 464.5				0.00

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

	Corrosion	each	4.57	0%	0.00	100%	4.57	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	In Span 3, between the f laminar rust to the bottor through the anchor bolt f	n flange a	and bottom 3" of	web (Se	ee Photo 15)	. The ru			-		
	In Span 11, between the exhibiting minor corrosio the stay-in-place form (S	n and lar	ninar rust due to					•		I	
	In Span 14, the north fac the bottom of web.	e of Girde	er 'A' at the eas	t field sp	lice has a 62	?" long x	1.5" high are	a of lam	inar rust aloi	ng	
1020	Connection	each	3.66	0%	0.00	50%	1.83	50%	1.83	0%	0.00
	The east Girder 'G' field	splice in S	Span 7 is missin				e bottom flar ie.	3- (,		
	The east Girder 'G' field In Span 8, the bottom fla plate the splice plate abo In Span 9, at the Girder	nge of Gi ove it.	rder 'G' at the e	ng one bo ast field	olt in the both splice exhibi	tom flang its a 1/8"	e. gap betwee	n the bot	tom flange		
1900	In Span 8, the bottom fla plate the splice plate abo	nge of Gi ove it.	rder 'G' at the e	ng one bo ast field	olt in the both splice exhibi	tom flang its a 1/8"	e. gap betwee	n the bot	tom flange	0%	0.00
1900	In Span 8, the bottom fla plate the splice plate abo In Span 9, at the Girder	nge of Gi ove it. A' field sp each an area o ge deflect	rder 'G' at the e blice, there is a l 0.61 f minor impact o ted upward app.	ng one bo ast field loose, ur 0% damage roximate	olt in the both splice exhibit ndersized bo 0.00 to the bottom ly ¾" (See F	tom flang its a 1/8" It in the t 100% n flange r Photo 14)	e. gap betwee pottom flange 0.61 near the sec	n the bot e (See Pl 0% ond inter	tom flange hoto 33). 0.00 ior cross-fra	me	0.00
1900	In Span 8, the bottom fla plate the splice plate abo In Span 9, at the Girder Distortion In Span 2, Girder 'I' has from Pier 2, with the flan	nge of Gi ove it. A' field sp each an area o ge deflect	rder 'G' at the e blice, there is a l 0.61 f minor impact o ted upward app.	ng one bo ast field loose, ur 0% damage roximate	olt in the both splice exhibit ndersized bo 0.00 to the bottom ly ¾" (See F	tom flang its a 1/8" It in the t 100% n flange r Photo 14)	e. gap betwee pottom flange 0.61 near the sec	n the bot e (See Pl 0% ond inter	tom flange hoto 33). 0.00 ior cross-fra	me	0.00

			20	5	Re Co	nc Colum	in					
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Q	ty % St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
205	R	e Conc Column	each	39.00	100%	39.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
independen	t drilled sl	rced concrete columns a haft. The center and sou t of the original structure	th colu	mns (Col	umns 2 and	3) are suppo	rted by a	reinforced	concrete			
	8368	Graffiti	sq.t	ft 1,1	90.00 10	0% 1,190.0	00 0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		The columns have scatte	ered areas of graffiti, particularly at the land piers (See						0, 39, 42)).		

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

			21	0	Re	Con	c Pier W	all					
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Qty	%	St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
210	F	Re Conc Pier Wall	ft	587.00	6	59%	405.00	31%	182.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
er (Colun ne pier wa neer sto s previou	nns 2 and alls have a nes (See F usly noted	rete pier walls are part of 3). See Photos 25, 30, 39 a stone masonry veneer. Photos 25). in the most recent routin sing a 12' long x 3' high p), 42. There a e inspe	re scattered	l area at Pi	is of m	issing mor	tar betv	veen the mas	onry	ich		
	1080	lamination/Spall/Patched	Ar eac	h 0.30)	0%	0.00	10	0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
L		At Pier 10, there is a 1' h	nigh x 1'	wide x 2" de	eep sj	oall at i	the southw	est corn	er of the pier	wall.	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>
		At Pier 11, the previousl repaired with a concrete On the east face of the F has been repaired with a	patch (Pier 12 v	See Photo 3 vall, the prev	9). viousl	y noted	d 39" high x		-				
Γ	1120	Efflorescence/Rust Stain	ing eac	h 0.30)	0%	0.00	10	0% 0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		Pier 13 – There are two 1/16" wide and exhibiting				st face	and one or	the ea	st face, all me	easuring	full height x	up to	
	1130	Cracking (RC and Othe	er) eac	h 177.7	'0	69%	122.8	3 31	% 54.86	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		The pier walls typically h cracking is present at the Pier 9 – There is a full-w vertical crack on the wes wide on the top face of p Pier 10 – South of Colum extending down the vert corner. Pier 12 – There is a full I Columns 2 and 3 (See F of Column 3 that has bee Pier 13 – There are two	e followi ridth x 1, st face s bier wall nn 3, the ical face height x Photo 42 en repa	ng piers: (16" wide tra outh of Colu between Co ere are three s of the wal 1/16" wide y). There is a ired with epo	nsver mn 3 lumn f full-v f full-v r f vertica also a also a also a	rse cra . Ther s 2 and width tr ere is a al craci a full he jection.	ck across ti e is also an d 3. ansverse c lso a 3' lon k on both th ight x up to	he top fa area of racks ar g x 1/8" ne east a o ½" wid	ace and a full hairline map cross the top, wide vertical and west face e vertical crai	height x -cracking up to 1/£ crack at of the pi ck on the	up to 1/8" w 12' long x t a" wide and the northwe er wall betw east face, s	ide s' st reen south	
		Pier 13 – There are two 1/16" wide and exhibiting				st face	and one or	n the ea	st face, all me	easuring	full height x	up to	

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

7360	Settlement	each	0.30	100%	0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	As noted in the last routin or covered/painted over. are some medium to wide settlement were observed Signs of potential settleme following notes were retail 2013 UNDERWATER INS At Pier #7, on both the we the top of the cap down to	As a res vertical during t ent of the ned from CPECTIC st and e the cha	ult, previously n cracks in the pi his inspection (Pier 7 wall was the most recer DN: ast faces of the nnel bottom nea	oted mind er walls o See Photo s noted d at underw pier, ther	or rotation o f Piers 9, 10 o 42). uring the mo ater inspect e are vertica	f the pier) and 12, ost recen ion, cond al cracks	r wall could r , but no sign: nt underwate ducted on 8/6 s open to 1/4	not be ve s of sign r inspect 8/2013. " wide th	erified. There ificant tion. The nat extend fro	e	
7361	of the pier (See Photo No. Scour This element is assessed	each	0.30	100% ction, whi	0.30 ch was not	0% part of th	0.00 ne scope of t	0% his routi	0.00 ne inspectior	0% n.	0.00
	The following notes were 2013 UNDERWATER INS The channel bottom consu- maximum penetration into is evidence of scour that H Pier #'s 4, 5, 8, and 9. Th Underwater Inspection Re-	PECTIC ists of m the cha pas expo ere has port (Se	DN: ud, sand, and si nnel bottom is 1 sed the steps / been no appare e Photo Nos. 13	hells with ''. As cor pile caps nt chango 3 and 14)	scattered c npared to th up to 3' ven e to the cha . There is n	onstructi ne 2009 l tically x l nnel orie no signific	ion debris thi Underwater I up to the full- intation as co cant erosion ble and stee	roughour nspectic length o ompared observe I at the o	t. The on Report, th of the piers at I to the 2009 od along the	t	
	channel embankments. T bottom adjacent to the pie obstructions or debris acc	rs due to			'''		0	is no sig	gnificant		

		21	5	Re Con	c Abutme	ent					
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
215	Re Conc Abutment	ft	171.00	98%	168.00	2%	3.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00

The West Abutment of Bridge 200 is continuous with the west abutment for adjacent Bridge 700 to the north. The East Abutment of Bridge 200 is continuous with the remaining original section of abutment to the south.

The face of both abutments exhibits areas of light rust staining from the girders above (See Photos 13, 46). At the West Abutment, the previously noted areas of graffiti have been painted over. Also, there is a steel cable left on the beam seat of the West Abutment from construction.

1080	lamination/Spall/Patched Ar	each	0.61	0%	0.00	100%	0.61	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	East Abutment – At the nort is a 9' high x 1' wide hollow Photo 46).					•					
1120	Efflorescence/Rust Staining	each	0.30	0%	0.00	100%	0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	West Abutment - There is a near the base of abutment u East Abutment – The East A	ınder E	Bays 'H' and 'I'.						0,		
	been repaired (See Photo 4					0					

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	each	51.21	100%	51.21	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	West Abutment – The face have been ground out and s efflorescence and moisture hairline map-cracking are p	sealed stainin	(See Photo 13) g, located near	There the base	is also a 20' of abutmen	long hor It under l	izontal hairlii	ne crack	with		
	East Abutment – The East Abutment – The East Abutment – The East Abutment (See Photo 4		nt face exhibits	random	cracks with	effloresc	cence, some	which h	ave already		

		22	0 R	e Conc	Pile Cap/	Ftg		_			
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
220	Re Conc Pile Cap/Ftg	ft	19.69	100%	19.69	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00

This is an underwater inspection element. Refer to previous underwater inspection notes from 8/8/2013, included below.

2013 UNDERWATER INSPECTION:

The piers are founded on reinforced concrete pile caps with unknown type piles and concrete tremie seals. The pile caps have been exposed as follows and exhibit scaling up to 1/2" deep on the exposed surfaces:

Pier #4: The sloped concrete step / pile cap (steps out 18" from the pier face then slopes off at the 45° angle towards the channel bottom) is exposed between 0.4' and 3' vertically extending from the northwest (upstream) shoulder, along the length of the east face of pier, and terminates at the south (downstream) nose. The exposed surfaces of the step / pile cap exhibit scaling up to 1/2" deep.

Pier #5: The reinforced concrete pile cap (steps out 2' from the pier face) has been exposed up to 1' vertically along the south (downstream) nose of the pier and over a length of 4' along the west face of the pier at the southwest corner. The exposed surfaces of the pile cap exhibit scaling up to 1/2" deep.

Pier #6: There is no observed exposure of the pier pile cap.

Pier #7: There is no observed exposure of the pier pile cap.

Pier #8: The sloped concrete step / pile cap (steps out 18" from the pier face then slopes off at the 45° angle towards the channel bottom) is exposed between 6' and 10' long x 3' vertically at the northwest (upstream), southwest (downstream), northeast (upstream), and southeast (downstream) corners of the pier. The exposed surfaces of the step / pile cap exhibit scaling up to 1/2" deep.

Pier #9: The sloped concrete step / pile cap (steps out 18" from the pier face then slopes off at the 45° angle towards the channel bottom) is exposed over a length of approximately 65' x up to 0.5' vertically along the west face of the pier originating approximately 5' from the north (upstream) shoulder. The exposed surfaces of the step / pile cap exhibit scaling up to 1/2" deep.

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

		22	5	St	eel Pile						
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
225	Steel Pile	(EA)	6.00	100%	6.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
to the previous 2013 UNDERW	s accessed via an underwa s underwater inspection no ATER INSPECTION: hall be used to rate the con d of the piers.	otes from 8/8	/2013, attach	ed below			·		efer		
below the cap exhibits a 1' hig	les have a fiberglass jacke section that has no signific gh band of laminar corrosi es have minor corrosion.	cant deficien	cies (See Ph	oto Nos. :	2 - 12). At P	lier #5, th	e exposed	steel jacl	ket		

		23	4	Re Conc Pier Cap							
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
234	Re Conc Pier Cap	ft	920.00	99%	909.00	1%	11.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00

There are reinforced concrete pier caps at each pier (See Photos 25, 30, 39, 42). The pier caps have isolated areas of minor debris on the beam seats, and at Piers 7 and 8 there are steel cables left on top of the beam seat from construction.

	1080	lamination/Spall/Patched Ar	each	0.61	0%	0.00	100%	0.61	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
-		At the west face of Pier 1, th The east face of Pier 13 has			0			•				
[1120	Efflorescence/Rust Staining	each	0.30	0%	0.00	100%	0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
-		The pier caps have scattere light efflorescence (See Pho		-	l cracks	, up to 0.016	" wide x	full-height, w	ith some	e exhibiting		
[1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	each	279.50	99%	277.06	1%	2.44	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
_		The pier caps have scattere efflorescence (See Photo 3 8. At the west face of Pier 3, th the underside of cap (See F continues across the full wid wide and continues 12" onto	1). The nere are hoto 1 ith of ti	ere are also a fe e 2 vertical crac 7). Below Girde he cap undersio	ew cresc sks in the er 'E' the le. Belo	ent shaped o e pier cap be e vertical crao	cracks pr neath Gi ck measu	rders 'E' and res 6' high >	caps at I 'F' that < 0.010"	Pier 6 and P extend onto wide and		

			30	0		Strip Se	al Exp Jo	oint					
Elm		Description	Unit	Tot	al Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
300	Str	ip Seal Exp Joint	ft	e	60.88	0%	0.00	100%	68.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
here is a str	•	xpansion joint at the W	est Abut	ment	(See Ph	oto 5). Ti	he seal is d	epressed	downward	slightly i	na		
	2350	Debris Impaction	eac	:h	20.73	0%	6 0.00	100	% 20.73	3 0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	There is light to moderate dirt and debris in the joint (See Photo 5).												

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

		30	1	Pourab	le Joint S	eal					
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
301	Pourable Joint Seal	ft	161.00	100%	161.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
•	joint sealant at the approa d to be in good condition.	ch slab j	oints at both	ends of t	ne bridge (S	ee Photo	94). The joi	nts and			
		30	3 /	Assem .	Jnt With S	Seal					
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
303	Assem Jnt With Seal	ft	220.00	0%	0.00	100%	220.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	expansion joints at Piers oulder, there are few areas				•	,). At the Pie	er 4 joint	, in	-	
2350	Debris Impaction	ead	h 67.06	0%	6 0.00	100	% 67.06	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	the low-speed shoulder	(See Ph		Conc	Approach	Slab					
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
321 R	e Conc Approach Slab	sq.ft	2,212.00	43%	952.00	57%	1,260.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	ed concrete approach slab ng surface and is therefore See Photo 12). Wearing Surfaces		ble (See Photo	5). The	east approa	ach slab i	is bare and		op	0%	0.00
510	The west approach slat	·									

1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	each	0.09	100%	0.09	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00			
	The east approach slab has scattered longitudinal cracks observed in the off-ramp lane (See Photo 12).													
1190	Abrasion(PSC/RC)	each	117.06	0%	0.00	100%	117.06	0%	0.00	0%	0.00			
	The east approach slab exh gouges (See Photo 12).	nibits ar	eas of minor to	modera	te wear throi	ughout, a	is well as a f	ew mino	r scrapes an	d				

CN Inspection Report With Work

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

			331	1	Re	Conc l	Bridge Ra	ailing					
Elm		Description	Unit	Tota	al Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
331	Re	Conc Bridge Railing	ft	3,3	818.00	100%	3,318.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		concrete bridge railings al and minor gouges from im	•			•			.,				
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	eac	h	1,011.33	3 100	% 1,011.3	33 0%	6 0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
L	1150	The concrete railings have			,		,				0.00	070	

			806	50	So	cupper						
	Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
ſ	8060	Scupper	(EA)	26.00	0%	0.00	85%	22.00	15%	4.00	0%	0.00

There are two scuppers at each pier, one adjacent to the north railing (at the edge of the high-speed lane) and one adjacent to the south railing (in the low-speed shoulder). The scuppers are typically 2/3 clogged with dirt, debris, and vegetation. At Piers 5, 6, 7, and 11, the south scuppers are completely clogged (See Photo 11). The scuppers along the north side of the bridge fall within the wheel line of the high speed lane and a loud banging noise is heard whenever traffic passes over them. Five of these scuppers located along the high speed lane are missing one of the grates.

At the east face of Pier 11, below Girder Bay 'A', the scupper drain pipe elbow does not extend far enough into the lower drain pipe, causing the water to splash out onto the pier face (See Photo 40).

			821	3	R/C R	eturn Wa	I					
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
8213		R/C Return Wall	(LF)	70.00	100%	70.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		l concrete return wall at the er finish and there is light				•						
	1130	Cracking (RC and Othe	r) eacl	n 21.34	100	% 21.34	0%	6 0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		As previously noted, the and measuring up to 10'		st return wall	has vertio	cal hairline c	racks typ	pically extend	ling from	the weepho	oles	
			821	8	Backwa	ll, All Typ	es					
Elm		Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
8218	В	ackwall, All Types	(LF)	171.00	98%	168.00	1%	1.00	1%	2.00	0%	0.00
nere are r	einforced	concrete backwalls at bo	th abutr	nents. The b	ackwalls	exhibit min	or leaka	ge stains.				
Γ	1080	lamination/Spall/Patched	Ar eac	n 0.61	0%	6 0.00	0%	6 0.00	1009	% 0.61	0%	0.00
		At the north end of the E behind Girder 'A' (See P		,	s a 24" wi	ide x 7" high	x up to	12" deep spa	ll at the t	op of backw	all,	
Г	1120	Efflorescence/Rust Stain	ing eacl	n 0.30	0%	6 0.00	100	0% 0.30	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
		The backwalls have rand 48).	lom hair	line vertical c	racks up	to full height	, with an	d without effi	orescend	e (See Pho	to	
Г	1130	Cracking (RC and Othe	r) eacl	n 51.21	100	% 51.21	0%	6 0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
The backwalls have random hairline vertical cracks up to full height, with and without efflorescence (See Photo 48).												

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

		8316	ls	olatio	n <mark>Bear</mark> in	g					
Elm	Description	Unit To	otal Qty %	5 St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
8316	Isolation Bearing	(EA)	172.00	60%	103.00	40%	69.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
rders (See Photos ee Photos 18, 19, noto 27). Some b everal of the bear Iges of the plate (cations. The gap addition, the Gird	bearings at all piers and b s 19, 28, 32, 45). In a few I 21, 26). Concrete debris/ earings have missing anc ings exhibit gaps between See Photos 18, 28, 37, 41, s appear to be a result of der 'H' bearing at Pier 5 ex	ocations, th over-pour fr hor bolt was the mason 44). These the top surfa	e bearing pla om construc hers. ry plate and f gaps are gen ace of the pe	ates exh ction was the top s nerally a destal h	ibit areas o s observed surface of t round ¼" t aving an u	f light to at a few he concr out range neven fir	moderate s bearing loc ete pedesta up to 3/4" hish in these	ations (S ations (S I along ti in a few e locatior	See he 1S.		
ce of the bearing	Corrosion	each	4.00	0%	0.00	100%	6 4.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	At Pier 3, the bearings t Moderate surface rust v 21). At Pier 5, light surf	vas also note	ed on the ma	sonry pla	ate of the G	irder 'J' k	pearing in Sp			-	1
2220	Alignment	each	8.00	0%	0.00	100%	6 8.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
8375	oose or Missing Bolts d		57.00	0%	0.00	100%	6 57.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	West Abut Random Pier 1 - Loose nuts at G Pier 2 - Northeast anch fully seated and tilted nu Pier 3 - Some bearings anchor bolt is detached Pier 4 - Most of the bea Pier 5 - Girder 'E' south northeast corner. The r Pier 6 – At Girder 'A', th	loose nuts a irders 'B', 'D or bolt is mis orth. There exhibit anch (See Photo rings have a west anchor northwest an	t Girders 'B'- ', 'E', 'F' and sing at Girde are loose nut or bolts that 19). nchor bolt nu bolt is backe chor bolt nut	F', 'H' n 'J'. Gird rs 'C', 'E s at Gird are loose its that a ed off 1/8 s are loo	orth, 'l' nort er 'J' north ?' and 'F'. 1 ler 'l'. Girda e and/or no re not fully re not fully se at Girde se at Girde	h and 'J' anchor b The north er 'J' sout t fully sea seated/lo 'F' and 'G r 'J'.	olt is tilted s east anchor th anchor bo ated. At Gird pose. S' are both n	outh. bolt at G blt is tilteo der 'G', th nissing a	irder 'H' is i I north. ne northeas bolt at the	f	

		03.	55	Suarura	iii, venicu			-	-	-	
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
8335	Guardrail, Vehicular	(LF)	200.00	100%	200.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00

The approach guardrail at the four corners of the bridge consists of reinforced concrete railing (See Photo 5, 12). The approach railing has some isolated scrape marks (See Photo 5).

CN Inspection Report With Work

Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	each	60.96	100%	60.96	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00
	The reinforced concrete app	oroach	railing exhibits	scattered	l vertical hai	rline crac	cks (See Pho	oto 5).			

		837	' 0	Steel Diaphragms							
Elm	Description	Unit	Total Qty	% St 1	Qty. St 1	%St 2	Qty.St 2	%St 3	Qty. St 3	% St 4	Qty.St 4
8370	Steel Diaphragms	(EA)	805.00	100%	804.00	0%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00

The interior cross-frames and end diaphragms are generally in good condition, with some scattered areas of discoloration noted (See Photos 3, 4, 27, 48). There are also scattered locations of concrete debris / over-pour from construction.

In Bay 'G', at a few of the interior cross-frames, one of the washer plates used at the girder connections is bearing on the adjacent washer plate and is slightly bent (See Photo 34).

515	Steel Protective Coating	sq.ft	24,200.00	100%	24,200.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	
	The cross-frames and diaphragms are protected by a weathering steel patina (See Photos 3, 4, 27). The end diaphragms below the deck joints at the abutments and at Piers 4 and 9 are painted (See Photo 48).											
	The weathering steel diaphragms and cross-frames typically exhibit a normal surface patina with some scattered areas of discoloration (See Photos 3, 4, 27). The painted end diaphragms are in good condition (See Photo 48).											
1020	1020 Connection each 1.00 0% 0.00 100% 1.00 0% 0.00 0% 0										0.00	
	In Span 10, Bay 'G' @ Pier 9, the bolts at the end diaphragm connections to Girder 'G' and 'H' are loose/not fully engaged (See Photo 36). There is also a slight gap between the bearing stiffener plate and the end diaphragm at both connections.											

BRIDGE NOTES

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

PAST INSPECTION

Inspection Date:	07/28/2015		Туре:		1 Regular NBI
Inspector:	CARVALHO, NIV	/ERIO	Pontis User Ke	y:	NIVERIO.CARVALHO NIVE
Scope:					
NBI:	\checkmark	Other:		Elemer	nt: 🗹
Underwater:		Fracture Critical:			
	8				
Crew: Matt Kellog Equipment: Hami No significant cha the NBI ratings rei "8 – Very Good", a Light Standards – anchor bolt covers Span 7 Fender Sy the west face of P	g, P.E., Niverio Ca mer, Measuring Ta nges in the condition main unchanged a and Item #60 Subs As previously note s. ystem – There is a ier 7 (See Photo 3	eers & Consultants, Ind rvalho, P.E., Celita Val pe, Crack Gage, Came on of the bridge were of s follows: Item #58 Dec tructure "6 – Satisfacto ed in the last routine ins timber fender system in 0). The wood piles and s also moderate marine	rgas, Dave Manor era, 60' Man-Lift, I bserved during th ck "8 – Very Good ry". spection, a few of n Span 7 along th d walers of the fer	Barge his inspec d", Item # the light he east fa nder syst	59 Superstructure poles are missing ace of Pier 6 and
PAST INSPECTION					
Inspection Date:	08/08/2013		Туре:		D UW-Contract SCUBA
Inspector:			Pontis User Ke	y:	PONTIS Pontis Pontis

Scope:

NBI:

Underwater:

 \checkmark

Other:

Fracture Critical:

INSPECTION	NOTES
------------	-------

Element:

 \checkmark

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

Item 60 - Substructure (Rating = 6):
At Pier #'s 4 - 9, the steel jacketed concrete filled caisson pile exhibit minor corrosion below the
fiberglass jackets and and an isolated 1' high band of laminar corrosion with negligible section loss
along the channel bottom at Pier #5. At Pier #'s 4 - 9, the granite stone masonry facade exhibits
between 5% and 15% deteriorated mortar with between 3" and 6" of penetration between
stones and isolated cracked stones. The reinforced concrete portion of the stems have scaling up to
1/2" deep throughout. On both the west and east faces of Pier # 7, there are vertical cracks open
between 1/8" and 1/4" wide that extend from the top of the cap down to the channel bottom
near the midpoint of the pier stem. At Pier #'s 4, 5, 8, and 9, the steps / footings have been
exposed up to 3' vertically x up to the full-length of the piers.
Item 61 - Channel & amp; Channel Protection (Rating = 6):
As compared to the 2009 Underwater Inspection Report, there is evidence of scour that has exposed the
steps / footings up to 3' vertically x up to the full-length of the piers at Pier #'s 4, 5, 8, and 9.
There has been no apparent change to the channel orientation as compared to the 2009 Underwater
Inspection Report. There is no significant erosion observed along the channel embankments. There is construction debris consisting of concrete rubble and steel at the channel bottom adjacent to the piers
due to construction on the bikepath / pedestrian bridge. There is no significant obstructions or debris
accumulation which would affect the hydraulic opening at the bridge.
accumulation which would allect the hydraulic opening at the bluge.
Item 113 - Scour Critical (Rating = 3):
As compared to the 2009 Underwater Inspection Report, there is evidence of scour that has exposed the
steps / footings at Pier Nos. 4, 5, 8, and 9. A scour analysis was performed to evaluate the scour
potential at the bridge site. Based on this scour analysis, the structure has be
PAST INSPECTION

PAST INSPECTION

Inspe	ection Date:	08/02/2013		Туре:		1 Reg	ular NBI
Inspector: NAZARETH, CRAIG		Pontis User Key:		ALAN.TARASENKO ALAN			
Scop	e:						
	NBI:	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Other:		Element:		
	Underwater:		Fracture Critical:				

INSPECTION NOTES

Routine Inspection by AI Engineers, Inc. Started on 6/26/2013 and completed on 8/2/2013 Crew: AT, LP, AP Weather: Varied cloudy to rainy and 71°F to 85°F.

The bridge is logged from west to east with girder G1 at the north fascia. Span 14 has two additional flared partial length girders at the south fascia labelled as girder G11 and G12 from north to south. Based on the results of this inspection the bridge overall rating has decreased from good (rated 7) to satisfactory condition (rated 6). The condition ratings for the deck (item 58, rated 8), superstructure (item 59, rated 7), and channel (item 61, rated 7) remain unchanged. The condition rating for the substructure (item 60) has decreased from good (rated 7) to satisfactory (rated 6) due to the piers.

Sign Structure: There is a full span overhead sign structure mounted to the top of the concrete parapets over pier 13 with no notable deficiencies. See photo 9.

Channel: The Seekonk River is tidal and flows from north to south under spans 4-10. See Underwater Inspection Report. See photos 89 & amp; 90.

CN Inspection Report With Work

Bridge Inspection Report Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

WORK CANDIDATES

Work Candidate ID	Action	Agency	Agency	Assigned to	Rec.	Comp.
		Status	Priority	a Project	Date	Date
0000000-WMWS-090815-3F416	Drain-Cln/Clr Dck	Unknown	Medium	0	07/28/2015	
4D751	Drain/Dwnspout					

At Piers 5, 6, 7 and 11, the south scuppers are completely clogged and need to be cleaned out. The quantity of scuppers requiring cleaning is 4 EA.

Also, there are 5 scuppers along the north side of the bridge that are missing a grate. The north scuppers fall within the wheel line of the high-speed lane and therefore these scupper grates should be replaced.